

# English grammar for esp students

**Đinh Thị Bắc Bình\***

*\*Học viện Ngân hàng*

*Received: 12/11/2024; Accepted: 20/11/2024; Published: 28/11/2024*

**Abstract:** Grammar plays a vital role in English for Specific Purposes (ESP), helping students communicate effectively in their specialized fields. Tailored grammar instruction focuses on structures relevant to professional contexts, such as formal reports or technical presentations. This approach ensures ESP learners develop the precision and clarity needed for success in academic and professional environments. This article explores the critical role of grammar in English for Specific Purposes (ESP) education, emphasizing its importance for learners aiming to succeed in specialized professional or academic fields and then gives some suggestions to improve the teaching and learning of grammar for ESP students.

**Keywords:** Assessment, ESP students, diagnostic test, grammar, roles

## Introduction

English grammar is the study of the rules and structures that govern the use of the English language. It encompasses the way words and phrases are used to construct sentences and express meaning, as well as the conventions of syntax, morphology, and punctuation that shape the language.

For ESP students, grammar is not merely about mastering rules but understanding how to use language accurately and appropriately in professional or academic settings. This tailored grammar learning helps ESP students overcome challenges in understanding field-specific terminology, adapting to formal language registers, and maintaining clarity in their communication. The importance of grammar in ESP lies in its ability to equip learners with the tools they need to express themselves precisely and effectively, ensuring their success in specialized fields where language proficiency is critical.

## 1. The concept of grammar in general

### 1.1. Definitions of grammar

Grammar is of the utmost importance in teaching and learning a language. Of which, there is a variety of definitions. Covell (1852) described grammar as the science of language and the act of putting it into use. He believed that while grammar is the collective principles of a language, Butterfield (2008) states that grammar is often a generic way of referring to any aspect of English that people object to. Huddleston (1988) sees grammar as consisting of morphology and syntax. In line with Noam Chomsky's transformational generative theory on the study of language, they defines grammar as the

study of the ideal native speaker-hearer's competence in the use of a language. He views grammar as the innate and unconscious knowledge possessed by the speaker that enables the speaker to judge sentences as grammatical or ungrammatical.

### 1.2. Types of grammar

Quirk *et al* (1985). outlines five different types of grammar: prescriptive and descriptive grammar, traditional grammar, phrase structure grammar, transformational- generative grammar and systemic functional grammar. These five types of grammar illustrate different approaches towards analysis and description of language. Consequently, the different approaches reflected in each type of grammar influence the teaching of grammar.

#### 1.2.1. Prescriptive and Descriptive Grammar

Prescriptive grammar refers to a set of rules and norms about how a language *should* be used. It focuses on maintaining standards and correctness, often based on traditional or formal usage. Prescriptive grammar is commonly applied in educational contexts, emphasizing rules to promote a uniform and "correct" way of speaking or writing, often ignoring how language naturally evolves.

Descriptive grammar, on the other hand, describes how language is actually used by speakers in everyday life, without imposing rules of correctness. Linguists use descriptive grammar to analyze and document the structures and patterns found in spoken and written communication across different regions, social groups, and contexts. It embraces language change and diversity, providing a neutral account of usage rather than judging it as right or wrong.

### 1.2.2. Traditional Grammar

In traditional grammar, syntax rather than semantics is a central component of a language. In teaching the syntactic organization of the sentences, traditional grammarians have identified and defined eight parts of speech. The eight parts of speech identified are nouns, verbs, pronouns, adjectives, adverbs, prepositions, conjunctions and interjections. These different parts of speech make up a sentence.

#### 1.2.3. Phrase-Structure Grammar

Extending and developing the work of traditional grammar, phrase-structure grammar highlights the relationship of words and phrases in a sentence (Cook, 1991). It helps to understand how the structural relationships of words and phrases support the meanings, which we attempt, to convey through language. This relationship is presented graphically using substitution tables, which have been widely used in basic grammar lessons.

### 1.2.4. Transformational-Generative Grammar

Like traditional and phrase-structure grammar, transformational-generative grammar also emphasises on syntax. In fact, it deals with syntax in greater details. If phrase-structure grammar shifts the perspective from individual word to the sentence, transformational generative grammarians are interested to explain how our mind generates sentences, that is, from intent to utterance (Radford, 1981). Transformational generative grammarians argue that innumerable syntactic combinations can be generated by means of a system of formal rules, such as, transformational rule (ibid). These transformational rules, which are based on the phrase structure and the tree structure, transform phrase structures into other forms, like active to passive. The processes that transform active voice to passive voice do not only depict the grammatical relationships between the various constituents that make up the sentence, but also explain how individuals can produce numerous sentences, which they have never produced or heard before.

### 1.2.5. Systemic Functional Grammar (SFG)

Systemic Functional Grammar (SFG), developed by Michael Halliday and his colleagues, is different from all the previous models of grammar in that it interprets language as interrelated sets of options for making meaning and seeks to provide a clear relationship between functions and grammatical systems (Halliday, 1994).

Functional linguists analyze a text, spoken or

written, from a functional point of view. A text is “a harmonious collection of meaning appropriate to its context” (Butt, et al., 2000). A full understanding of a text is often impossible without reference to the context in which it occurs. And context can be considered from two perspectives: the context of culture and the context of situation. With respect to the context of situation, all the situational differences between texts can be explained by three aspects of the context, namely, field, tenor, and mode. Field refers to what is to be talked or written about; tenor is the relationship between the speaker and listener or the writer and reader; mode refers to the channel of communication (Butt, et al., 2000).

## 2. The importance of grammar

### 2.1. In General English

The basics of English grammar are the foundation of effective communication in the English language. The study of English grammar covers a wide range of topics, including the parts of speech, sentence structure, verb tense and aspect, subject-verb agreement, and punctuation, among others. It also takes into account the context and register of language use, which can affect the meaning and interpretation of words and phrases. English grammar is a fundamental aspect of language learning and communication. A solid understanding of the rules and structures of English grammar is essential for effective communication in both personal and professional contexts, and it forms the basis for further language development and mastery.

Crystal (2004) believe that explicit grammar instruction hinders proper communication, because it not only consists of various linguistic units as stated above but also because “it can help foster precision, detects and eliminate ambiguity and exploit the richness of expressions available in English.” Many linguists as Swain, (1985); Tolentino & Tokowicz, (2014), believed that grammar should be emphasized because, without it, EFL learners will end up with fluent but not accurate English. Clearly, English grammar provides the basic rules and structures that govern the use of the English language. understanding of grammar is necessary for effective communication in both written and spoken forms. Without a clear understanding of the basics of English grammar, learners may struggle to communicate their ideas and thoughts effectively. They may make errors in syntax, word order, and punctuation, which can lead to confusion and miscommunication. Additionally,

a lack of understanding of English grammar can hinder learners' ability to read and comprehend texts, as well as to produce coherent and well-structured written work. For teachers, a solid understanding of English grammar is crucial for effective grammar instruction.

English grammar provides a framework for effective communication in both spoken and written forms. It enables speakers and writers to convey their ideas and thoughts with clarity and precision, and it helps listeners and readers to understand those ideas and thoughts accurately. "grammar is a rich resource for meaning making, a tool of exquisite precision, allowing us to create forms in order to express delicate shades of meaning".

## 2.2. In ESP

It is certain that grammar has important roles in some aspects of ESP learning. According to Ellis R. (2005), proficiency in ESP requires that learners acquire both a rich repertoire of formulaic expressions, which caters to fluency in ESP communication, and a rule-based competence consisting of knowledge of specific grammatical rules, which facilitates complexity and accuracy in ESP communication.

Zhaojun Chen (2016) states that grammar can generally play two parts in ESP learning. One is to enhance comprehensible input and the other is to monitor effective output. The first part means that learners use grammar knowledge they have learned to solve some puzzles in ESP reading comprehension. When learners cannot understand the meaning of a complicated sentence, they need to analyse the sentence structure, the functions and interrelation of sentence components in order to comprehend the sentence.

To monitor effective output means monitoring their oral or written expressions. Many learners have learned English and ESP for several years, but they would make some mistakes in the oral or written expressions. It shows that they have not made the best use of their mastered knowledge to monitor and adjust the ESP learning output.

Therefore, in terms of grammar learning, ESP learners' grammar competence is cultivated through learning procedural and declarative knowledge. In summary, learning grammar cannot only help ESP learners construct more accurate sentences but also help them use various structures to communicate in real-life situations

## CONCLUSION

Ultimately, the goal of teaching grammar to ESP students is to empower them with the linguistic tools they need to succeed in their chosen fields. Through continuous practice and context-specific exercises, ESP learners. Mastering English grammar is a critical component of learning English for Specific Purposes (ESP). For ESP students, grammar serves as the foundation for clear and effective communication within their professional or academic domains. Unlike general English learners, ESP students require tailored grammar instruction that aligns with their specific needs, whether in business, science, technology, or other specialized fields.

## References

1. Butterfield, Jeremy. *Damp Squid: The English Language Laid Bare*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2008.
2. Chen, Z. (2016). *Grammar Learning Strategies Applied to ESP Teaching*. Theory and Practice in Language Studies, Vol. 6, No. 3, pp. 617-621, March 2016.
3. Covell, L. T. *A Digest of English Grammar; Synthetic and Analytical: Classified and Methodically Arranged: Accompanied by a Chart of Sentences to the Use of Schools*. Newyork: D. Appleton and Company, 1852
4. Crystal, David, "In Word and Deed." TES Teachers, April 20, 2005.
5. Ellis, R. (2005). *Instructed Second Language Acquisition: A Literature Review*. New Zealand: Auckland UniServices Limited.
6. Halliday, M.A.K., 1994. *An Introduction to Functional Grammar* (Second Edition). London: printer.
7. Lewis, M., & Hill, J. (1985). *Practical techniques for language teaching*. Hove, England: Language Teaching Publications.
8. Quirk et al. (1985). *A Comprehensive Grammar of the English Language*. Longman
9. Tolentino, L. C., & Tokowicz, N. (2014). *Cross-language similarity modulates effectiveness of second language grammar instruction*. *Language Learning*, 64(2), 279–309.
10. Swain, M. (1985). Communicative competence: Some roles of comprehensible input and comprehensible output in its development. In S. Gass & C. Madden (Eds.), *Input in second language acquisition* (pp.235–253). Newbury House.