# χ – AUTOMORPHISM INVARIANT MODULES SATISFY C3-CONDITION

#### Dao Thi Trang\*, Nguyen Quoc Tien

Ho Chi Minh City University of Food Industry \*Email: daothitrang1982@gmail.com Received: 9 April 2021; Accepted: 25 June 2021

#### ABSTRACT

In this article, we state the condition for an  $\chi$  –endomorphism invariant module to be a C2 module and an  $\chi$  – automorphism invariant module to be a C3 module. Finally, we discuss when an  $\chi$  – automorphism invariant module is an  $\chi$  – endomorphism invariant module. *Keywords:* Automorphism invariant, endomorphism invariant, injective envelope, general envelope.

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

In [1], the authors defined the notions of  $\chi$  – automorphism invariant modules and endomorphism-invariant modules where  $\chi$  is any class of modules closed under isomorphisms. Clearly, an  $\chi$ -endomorphism invariant module is  $\chi$ -automorphism invariant,  $\chi$  – automorphism invariant modules need not be  $\chi$  – endomorphism invariant in general. Many interesting properties of  $\chi$  – automorphism invariant modules investigated. Namely, that if  $u: M \to X$  is a monomorphic  $\chi$  – envelope of a module M such that M is  $\chi$  – automorphism invariant, End(X)/J(End(X)) is a von Neumann regular right selfinjective ring and idempotents lift modulo J(End(X)), then End(M)/J(End(M)) is also von Neumann regular and idempotents lift modulo J(End(M)) and consequently, M satisfies the finite exchange property. Moreover, if every direct summand of M has an  $\chi$  – envelope, then  $\chi$  – automorphism-invariant M has a decomposition  $M = A \oplus B$  where A is square-free, B is  $\chi$  – endomorphism-invariant and M is clean. In [2], the authors defined the notions of  $\chi$  – strongly purely closed modules. As a consequence, in this paper we study  $\chi$  – automorphism invariant  $\chi$  – strongly purely closed modules. We obtain that  $\chi$  – strongly purely closed,  $\chi$  – endomorphism invariant modules satisfy C2 condition ([Theorem 2.10]) and  $\chi$  – strongly purely closed,  $\chi$  – automorphism invariant modules satisfy C3 condition ([Theorem 2.13]). Throughout this article all rings are associative rings with identity and all modules are right unital. A submodule N of a module M is called essential in M (denoted as  $N \leq^{e} M$ ) if  $N \cap K \neq 0$  for any proper submodule K of M. Let  $\chi$  be a class of right R – modules, we say that  $\chi$  is closed under isomorphisms, if  $M \in \chi$  and  $N \cong M$  then  $N \in \chi$ . Let  $\chi$  be a class of right R-modules which is closed under isomorphisms, a homomorphism  $u: M \to X$  of right R-modules is an  $\chi$ - envelope of a module M provided that: (1)  $X \in \chi$  and, for every homomorphism  $u': M \to X'$  with  $X' \in \chi$ , there exists a homomorphism  $f: X \to X'$  such that u' = fu;



(2) u = fu implies that f is an automorphism for every endomorphism  $f: X \to X$ .

If (1) holds, then  $u: M \to X$  is called an  $\chi$  – preenvelope.

#### 2. RESULTS

It is easy to see that the  $\chi$ -envelope is unique up to isomorphisms. It has the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.1** [3, Proposition 1.2.1] If  $u: M \to X$  and  $u': M \to X'$  are two different  $\chi$  – envelopes of a right R – module M, then  $X' \cong X$ .

**Proposition 2.2** [3, Theorem 1.2.5] Let  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ , and  $u_i : M_i \to X_i$  are  $\chi$  – envelope of  $M_i$ . Then,  $u_1 \oplus u_2 : M \to X_1 \oplus X_2$  is an  $\chi$  – envelope of M.

Let M, N be R – modules. We say that N is  $\chi - M$  – injective if there exist

 $\chi$  – envelopes  $u_N : N \to X_N$ ,  $u_M : M \to X_M$  satisfying that for any homomorphism  $g : X_N \to X_M$ , there is a homomorphism  $f : N \to M$  such that  $gu_N = u_M f$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_N & \stackrel{g}{\longrightarrow} & X_M \\ u_N & \uparrow & u_M \\ N & \stackrel{f}{\longrightarrow} & M \end{array}$$

If M is  $\chi - M$  – injective, then M is said to be an  $\chi$  – endomorphism invariant module. A class  $\chi$  of right modules over a ring R, closed under isomorphisms is called an enveloping class if any right R – module M has an  $\chi$  – envelope.

**Lemma 2.3** Let  $\chi$  be an enveloping class. If N is  $\chi - M$  – injective, then N' is  $\chi - M'$  – injective for any N' is a direct summand of N and any M' is a direct summand of M.

Proof. Let  $N = N' \oplus K, M = M' \oplus L$  for some submodules K of N and L of M. Let  $u_{N'}: N' \to X_{N'}, u_K: K \to X_K, u_{M'}: M' \to X_{M'}, u_L: L \to X_L$  be  $\chi$ -envelopes of N', K, M', L, respectively. We have  $u_{N'} \oplus u_K: N \to X_{N'} \oplus X_K$  is an  $\chi$ -envelope of N and  $u_{M'} \oplus u_L: M \to X_{M'} \oplus X_L$  is an  $\chi$ -envelope of M. Let  $\alpha: X_{N'} \to X_{M'}$  be any homomorphism, and  $\pi: X_{N'} \oplus X_K \to X_{N'}$  be the canonical projection,  $i: X_{M'} \to X_{M'} \oplus X_L$  be the inclusion map. Let  $g = i\alpha\pi: X_{N'} \oplus X_K \to X_{M'} \oplus X_L$ . Since *N* is  $\chi - M$  -injective, there exists an homomorphism  $f: N \to M$  such that  $g(u_{N'} \oplus u_K) = (u_{M'} \oplus u_L)f$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_N & \stackrel{g}{\longrightarrow} & X_M \\ u_{N'} \oplus u_K & \uparrow & u_{M'} \oplus u_L \\ & & & & & \uparrow \\ & N & \stackrel{f}{\longrightarrow} & M \end{array}$$

It follows that  $gu_{N'} = u_{M'}f$ . Now, take  $\pi_{M'}: M' \oplus L \to M'$  the canonical projection and  $i_{N'}: N' \to N' \oplus K$  the inclusion map. Let  $g' = \pi_{M'}fi_{N'}: N' \to M'$ , then we can check that  $\alpha u_{N'} = u_{M'}g'$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{N'} & \stackrel{\alpha}{\longrightarrow} & X_{M'} \\ {}^{u_{N'}} \uparrow & {}^{u_{M'}} \uparrow \\ N' & \stackrel{g'}{\longrightarrow} & M' \end{array}$$

Therefore, N' is  $\chi - M' -$ injective.  $\Box$ 

The following corollaries are straightforward and we can omit their proofs.

**Corollary 2.4** If N is an  $\chi - M$  – injective module and L is a direct summand of M, then N is an  $\chi - L$  – injective module.

**Corollary 2.5** Every direct summand of an  $\chi - M$  – injective module is also an  $\chi - M$  – injective module.

**Corollary 2.6** Any direct summand of an  $\chi$  – endomorphism invariant module is  $\chi$  – endomorphism invariant.

**Corollary 2.7** Assume that  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ . If M is  $\chi$  – endomorphism invariant, then  $M_1$  is an  $\chi - M_2$  – injective module and  $M_2$  is an  $\chi - M_1$  – injective module.

**Definition 2.8** An R-module M is called  $\chi$ -strongly purely closed if every submodule A of M and any homomorphism  $f: A \to X$ , with  $X \in \chi$ , extends to a homomorphism  $g: M \to X$  such that gi = f in which  $i: A \to M$  is the inclusion map



A module M is called satisfying C2 condition if every submodule A of M such that A is isomorphic to a direct summand of M, then A is a direct summand of M.

**Theorem 2.10** Let  $\chi$  be an enveloping class and M is an  $\chi$ -strongly purely closed module. If M is an  $\chi$ -endomorphism invariant module then M satisfies C2 condition.

*Proof.* Let A be a submodule of M, and B is a direct summand of M such that  $A \cong B$ . Let  $\varphi: B \to A$  be an isomorphism. Let  $u_B: B \to X_B$  be an  $\chi$ -envelope of B,  $u_M: M \to X_M$  be an  $\chi$ -envelope of M. Since M is an  $\chi$ -strongly purely closed module, the homomorphism  $u_B \varphi^{-1}: A \to X_B$  extends to a homomorphism  $\beta: M \to X_B$  such that  $u_B \varphi^{-1} = \beta i$ . Since  $u_M: M \to X_M$  is an  $\chi$ -preenvelope of M, there exists  $k: X_M \to X_B$  such that  $\beta = k u_M$ .



Since *M* is an  $\chi$  – endomorphism invariant module and *B* is a direct summand of *M*, *M* is  $\chi - B -$  injective by Corollary 2.4. Therefore, there exists  $f : M \to B$  such that  $ku_M = u_B f$ 

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_M & \stackrel{k}{\longrightarrow} & X_B \\ \downarrow^{u_M} & \downarrow^{u_B} \\ M & \stackrel{f}{\longrightarrow} & B \end{array}$$

Now, we have  $u_B \varphi^{-1} = \beta i = k u_M i = u_B f i$ 

As  $u_B$  is a monomorphism, so  $\varphi^{-1} = fi$ . It follows that  $i\varphi$  is a split monomorphism. It means that  $Im(i\varphi) = A$  is a direct summand of M.  $\Box$ 

**Definition 2.11** A right R – module M having an  $\chi$  – envelope  $u: M \to X$  is said to be  $\chi$  – automorphism invariant if for any automorphism g of X, there exists an endomorphism f of M such that uf = gu.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \stackrel{g}{\longrightarrow} X \\ u & \uparrow & u \\ M & \stackrel{f}{\longrightarrow} M \end{array}$$

**Lemma 2.12** Let  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$  be an  $\chi$ -automorphism invariant module. Then  $M_1$  is  $\chi - M_2$ -injective.

*Proof.* Let  $u_1: M_1 \to X_1, u_2: M_2 \to X_2$  be  $\chi$  - envelopes of  $M_1, M_2$ , respectively. Thus,  $u = u_1 \oplus u_2: M \to X = X_1 \oplus X_2$  is an  $\chi$  - envelope of M. For any homomorphism  $g: X_1 \to X_2, \ \overline{g}: X \to X$  via  $\overline{g}(x_1 + x_2) = x_1 + x_2 + g(x_1)$  is an isomorphism of X. Since X is an  $\chi$  - automorphism invariant module, there exists  $h: M \to M$  such that  $\overline{g}u = uh$ . Let  $f = \pi_2(h-1)i_1$ , where  $\pi_2: M \to M_2$  is the canonical projection and  $i_1: M_1 \to M$  is the inclusion map, then we have  $u_2 f = gu_1$ 

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_1 & \stackrel{g}{\longrightarrow} & X_2 \\ u_1 \uparrow & & u_2 \uparrow \\ M_1 & \stackrel{f}{\longrightarrow} & M_2 \end{array}$$

Therefore,  $M_1$  is  $\chi - M_2$  – injective.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 2.13** Let  $\chi$  be an enveloping class and M is an  $\chi$ -automorphism invariant module. If M is an  $\chi$ -strongly purely closed module, then M satisfies C3 condition.

*Proof.* Assume that A, B are direct summands of M with  $A \cap B = 0$ . Let A' be some submodule of M such that  $M = A \oplus A'$ . We claim that, there exists  $M' \leq M$  such that  $M = A \oplus M'$  and  $B \leq M'$ . Let  $\pi: M \to A$ ,  $\pi': M \to A'$  be the projections. Since  $A \cap B = 0$ ,  $\pi'|_B: B \to A'$  is a monomorphism. Moreover, M is an  $\chi$ -strongly purely closed module, A' is too. It follows that  $u\pi'|_B: B \to X_{A'}$  is a preenvelope, where  $u: A \to X_A$  and  $u': A' \to X_{A'}$  are envelopes.



By definition of preenvelope, there exists  $h: X_{A'} \to X_A$  such that  $hu'\pi'|_B = u\pi|_B$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{A'} & \stackrel{h}{\longrightarrow} & X_A \\ u' & & u \\ A' & \stackrel{g}{\longrightarrow} & A \end{array}$$

Since A' is  $\chi - A - \text{injective}$  by Lemma 2.12, there exists  $g: A' \to A$  such that hu' = ug. Therefore  $u\pi|_B = hu'\pi'|_B = ug\pi'|_B$ . As u is a momomorphism,  $\pi|_B = g\pi'|_B$ . Let  $M' = \{a' + g(a')|a' \in A'\}$ . For every  $b \in B$ , we have  $b = \pi'(b) + \pi(b) = \pi'(b) + g\pi'(b)$ . It follows that  $b \in M'$ . Then  $B \leq M'$ . It is easy to see that  $A \cap M' = 0$  and for every  $m \in M$ ,

$$m = a + a' = a - g(a') + (a' + g(a')) \in A + M, (a \in A, a' \in A').$$

Thus M = A + M', and so  $M = A \oplus M'$ . On the other hand, we have  $M = B \oplus B'$  for some  $B' \leq M$ , then  $M' = B \oplus (M' \cap B')$ . We deduce that  $M = A \oplus M' = A \oplus B \oplus (M' \cap B')$ . It means that  $A \oplus B$  is a direct summand of M.

We will say that M is  $\chi$ -extending invariant (or  $\chi$ -extending) if there exists an  $\chi$ -envelope  $u: M \to X$  such that for any idempotent  $g \in End(X)$  there exists an idempotent  $f: M \to M$  such that  $g(X) \cap u(M) = uf(M)$  or uf = guf.

**Theorem 2.14** Let M be an  $\chi$ -extending invariant modules and  $u: M \to X$  is a monomorphic  $\chi$ -envelope with u(M) essential in X. Assume End(X)/J(End(X)) is a von Neumann regular, right self-injective ring and idempotents lift modulo J(End(X)). If M is  $\chi$ -automorphism invariant,  $\chi$ -strongly purely closed module then M is an  $\chi$ -endomorphism invariant module.

*Proof.* Let g be any endomorphism of X. By [1, Theorem 3.14], End(X) is clean, so g = e + f in which e is an idempotent endomorphism of X and f is an automorphism of X. Since M is an  $\chi$  – automorphism coinvariant module, there exists a homomorphism  $\alpha: M \to M$  such that  $fu = u\alpha$ . On the other hand, since M is an  $\chi$  – extending invariant modules and e is an idempotent endomorphism of X, there exists an idempotent endomorphism Χ such that  $e(X) \cap u(M) = ue'(M)$ . e' of Therefore  $A = u^{-1}(e(X)) \cap M = e'(M)$  is a direct summand of M. Since (1-e) is also an idempotent endomorphism of X,  $B = u^{-1}((1-e)(X)) \cap M$  is a direct summand of M. It is easy to see that  $A \cap B = 0$ . By Theorem 2.13,  $A \oplus B$  is a direct summand of M. Let  $M = A \oplus B \oplus C$  for some  $C \le M$ , then  $M = A \oplus A'$  where  $A' = B \oplus C \ge B$ . Let  $\pi: A \oplus A' \to A$  be the canonical projection. We show that  $eu = u\pi$ .

Assume that, there exists  $0 \neq m \in M$  such that  $(eu - u\pi)(m) \neq 0$ . Since  $u(M) \leq^e X$ , there exists  $m_1 \in M$  such that  $u(m_1) = (eu - u\pi)(m) \neq 0$ . Hence  $u(m_1 + \pi(m)) = eu(m) \in e(X)$ , and so  $m_1 + \pi(m) \in A$ . Moreover,  $eu(m_1 + \pi(m)) = e^2u(m) = eu(m)$ , so  $eu(m_1 + \pi(m) - m) = 0$ . Now,

 $u(m_1 + \pi(m) - m) = (1 - e)u(m_1 + \pi(m) - m) \in (1 - e)(X),$ so  $m_1 + \pi(m) - m \in B.$ 

Let m = a + a', where  $a \in A, a' \in A'$ , then  $m_1 + \pi(m) - a - a' \in B \le A'$  and  $\pi(m) = a$ . Therefore  $m_1 + \pi(m) - a \in A' \cap A = 0$ . Thus  $m_1 = 0$ , a contradiction.

Let  $h = \alpha + \pi \in End(M)$ , it follows that  $gu = (e + f)u = eu + fu = u\pi + u\alpha = u(\pi + \alpha) = uh.$ 

That means M is an  $\chi$  – endomorphism invariant module.  $\Box$ 

#### **3. CONCLUSION**

The article has just provided some general results about  $\chi$  – automorphism invariant modules satisfying the C-conditions. And stating the condition so that  $\chi$  – automorphism invariant modules is  $\chi$  – endomorphism invariant. In the case of class  $\chi$  is a class of specific modules such as the class of injective modules, the class of all pure-injective modules, we will have the corresponding specific results as well known for injective modules, pure-injective modules. We guarantee that the results in the paper belong to us and are completely different from existing ones.

Acknowledgments: This work was funded by Ho Chi Minh City University of Food Industry (Contract number 11/HD-DCT dated January 20, 2021.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Asensio P.A.G., Tütüncü D.K. and Srivastava A.K. Modules invariant under automorphisms of their covers and envelopes, Israel Journal of Mathematics **206** (2015) 457-482.
- 2. Asensio P.A.G, Kalebogaz B., Srivastava A.K. The Schröder-Bernstein problem for modules, Journal of Algebra **498** (2018) 153-164.
- 3. Xu J. Flat covers of modules, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1634, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1996) 162p.
- 4. Abyzov A.N, Le V.T., Truong C.Q, Tuganbaev A.A. Modules invariant under the idempotent endomorphisms of their covers, Siberian Mathematical Journal **60** (2019) 927-939.
- 5. Er N., Singh S., Srivastava A.K. Rings and modules which are stable under automorphisms of their injective hulls, Journal of Algebra **379** (2013) 223-229.

## TÓM TẮT

### MÔ-ĐUN BẤT BIẾN DƯỚI CÁC TỰ ĐẰNG CÂU CỦA BAO TỔNG QUÁT

Đào Thị Trang\*, Nguyễn Quốc Tiến Trường Đại học Công nghiệp Thực phẩm TP.HCM \*Email: daothitrang1982@gmail.com

Trong bài viết này, chúng tôi nêu điều kiện để một môđun  $\chi$  – bất biến tự đồng cấu thỏa điều kiện C2 và môđun  $\chi$  – bất biến tự đẳng cấu thỏa điều kiện C3. Cuối cùng, chúng tôi thảo luận khi nào một môđun  $\chi$  – bất biến tự đẳng cấu là một môđun  $\chi$  – bất biến tự đẳng cấu là một môđun  $\chi$  – bất biến tự đồng cấu.

Từ khóa: Bất biến tự đẳng cấu, bất biến tự đồng cấu, bao xạ ảnh, bao tổng quát.