STUDY OF CHEMICAL COMPOSITION AND ANTIBACTERIAL ACTIVITY FROM THE LEAVES AND TWIGS OF *Momordica charantia* L.

Đến tòa soạn 26-08-2023

Le Thi Bach^{1*}, Huynh Thu Hanh², Pham Thi Kim Phuong³, Le Thi Thanh Xuan⁴, Nguyen Trong Tuan¹, Pham Quoc Nhien¹, Mai Thi Thuy Duong¹, Banh Yen Ngoc¹, Lam Thi Phim¹, Nguyen Thao Phuong¹, Nguyen Thi Thuy Huynh¹, Tran Hoang Binh¹, Nguyen Thi Ngoc Hong Tham¹, and Nguyen Hong Yen¹

¹Department of Chemistry, College of Natural Sciences, Can Tho University ²Faculty of Chemical Engineering, College of Engineering, Can Tho University ³An Giang University, Vietnam National University – Ho Chi Minh City ⁴Faculty of Natural Sciences Teacher Education, Dong Thap University *Email: ltbach@ctu.edu.vn

TÓM TẮT

KHẢO SÁT THÀNH PHÀN HÓA HỌC VÀ HOẠT TÍNH KHÁNG KHUẦN CỦA THÂN VÀ LÁ CÂY MƯỚP ĐẮNG (*Momordica charantia* L.)

Nghiên cứu này nhằm mục đích bước đầu khảo sát hoạt tính kháng khuẩn của các cao chiết từ thân và lá cây Mướp đắng, lựa chọn cao chiết có hoạt tính tốt nhất để khảo sát thành phần hóa học nhằm tìm hiểu mối liên hệ giữa hoạt tính sinh học và cấu trúc hóa học của các hợp chất có trong cây Mướp đắng (Momordica charantia L.). Kết quả cho thấy cao chiết ethyl acetate thể hiện hiệu quả kháng khuẩn tốt theo phương pháp khuếch tán đĩa thạch trên các chủng vi khuẩn là tác nhân gây bệnh chính cho các loài thủy sản. Cao chiết ethyl acetate đều kháng lại 03 dòng vi khuẩn Aeromonas hydrophila, Aeromonas dhakensis, và Vibrio parahaemolyticus với giá trị MIC $\leq 0,3125$ mg/mL. Kết quả bước đầu khảo sát thành phần hóa học cho thấy, từ cao phân đoạn ethyl acetate của thân và lá cây Mướp đắng, 03 hợp chất đã được phân lập và nhận danh là 3 β ,7 β ,25-trihydroxycucurbita-5, (23E)-dien-19-al (1), protocatechuic acid (2), và afzelin (3). Cấu trúc hóa học của các hợp chất này được xác định bằng phương pháp phố NMR và so sánh với các tài liệu đã công bố. Đồng thời, hợp chất (1) và (3) phân lập được cũng cho hiệu quả kháng khuẩn tốt đối với khuẩn Aeromonas hydrophila. Những kết quả này cho thấy thân và lá cây Mướp đắng là một dược liệu tiềm năng chứa các hợp chất thế hiện hoạt tính kháng khuẩn tốt.

Từ khóa: Cây Mướp đẳng, kháng khuẩn, thành phần hóa học.

1. INTRODUCTION

Momordica charantia L. (bitter melon) is a plant belonging to the Cucurbitaceae family and is widely distributed in tropical and subtropical areas around the world. This plant has been related to many therapeutic applications in folk medicine [1]. Phytochemical studies revealed the presence, in its structure, of glycosides, saponins, alkaloids, triterpenes, proteins and steroids, which are considered biologically active compounds [2, 3]. Bitter melon is a good source of phenolic compounds, including gallic acid, genistic acid, catechin, epicatechin, caffeic acid, chlorogenic acid, *p*-coumaric acid, and ferulic acid [4]. Bitter melon also has saponins, peptides, and alkaloids. These bioactive compounds potentially impart a wide range of health benefits [5]. Bacterial resistance to antibiotics is a health problem that has spread throughout the world. Bacterial resistance against antibiotic, especially in the field of fisheries is mainly caused by the wide usage of antibiotics, allowing the bacteria to mutate to adapt against the antibiotic [6]. This problem can be handled by phytopharmaco; one of them is the bitter melon (*Momordica charantia* L.) which has antibacterial property.

Therefore, the aim of this study is to find out the antibacterial activity of the bitter melon (*Momordica charantia* L.) and investigate the chemical components of this plant.

2. EXPERIMENTAL

2.1 Chemicals and reagents

NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AM500 FTNMR spectrometer (Bruker, Karlsruhe, Germany) using TMS as an internal standard at Institute of Chemistry - Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology, Hanoi, Vietnam. TLC was performed on silica gel 60 F_{254} (0.063–0.200mm, Merck, Germany). The zones were detected by UV lamp at 254 or 365 nm or a solution of FeCl₃/EtOH or H₂SO₄/EtOH. Column chromatography was performed on silica gel (240-430 mesh, Merck, Germany), ODS (70-230 mesh, Merck, Germany).

Solvents utilized including *n*-hexane, chloroform, ethyl acetate, methanol (purity \geq 99.0%), and ethanol 96% were purchased from Chemsol company (Vietnam).

2.2 Sample treatment and preparation

The leaves and twigs of *Momordica charantia* L. were collected on February 2023 from Soc Trang city and authenticated by Dr. Nguyen Thi Kim Hue, a biologist at the Department of Biology, College of Natural Sciences, Can Tho University. A voucher specimen is kept under the number: MoC010323.

The sample was then washed away from muds and dust, the rotten and damaged parts were also

discarded. The raw materials were left to dry in the shade at room temperature for few days and then dried in an oven at about 50°C until welldried.

2.3 Extraction and isolation

The well-dried plant was ground into powder (3.0 Kg) which was then soaked in 96% ethanol at room temperature four times (20 L/time) and filtered. The solvent was evaporated under vacuum to give 450 g of crude extract. This extract was suspended in water followed by extraction with n-hexane, ethyl acetate and methanol for many times consecutively; then evaporated under vacuum conditions to give the residues of *n*-hexane (120 g), ethyl acetate (60 g), and methanol extract (90 g). The ethyl acetate fraction (60 g) was chromatographed on silica gel column using *n*-hexane, gradient of nhexane/EtOAc up to 100% EtOAc and then followed by gradient of EtOAc/MeOH up to 100% MeOH to collect 08 fractions (EE1-8). Fraction EE2 rechromatographed was bv column chromatography with n-hexane/EtOAc (9:1 to 5:5), yielding a compound that was crystallized from MeOH, to afford 50 mg of compound 1.

Fraction EE7 was separated by a silica gel column and eluted with *n*-hexane/EtOAc (from 1:1 to 0:100, v/v) to yield 12 subfractions (EE7.1-12). Subfraction EE7.7 was further chromatographed on silica gel CC, eluted with CHCl₃: MeOH (from 10:1 to 5:1, v/v) to obtain three subfractions (E7.7.1-3). At last, compound **2** (10 mg) was obtained from subfraction EE7.7.2.

Similarly, rechromatography of fraction EE8 using the solvent system as stated above and fractions collected at 15 mL interval, compound **3** (35 mg) crystallized out from fraction 04 of this column.

2.4 Antibacterial activity

In the present study, the antibacterial activity of extracts and isolated compounds from M. *Charantia* was assessed using the agar well diffusion method by measuring the diameter of growth inhibition zones and minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC).

Antimicrobial activity was evaluated in triplicates, with three standard bacterial strains acquired from Research Institute for Aquaculture No. 2, Vietnam including Aeromonas dhakensis, Aeromonas hydrophila and Vibrio parahaemolyticus which are major pathogens for the aquaculture industry. Bacteria were grown in tryptic soy agar (TSA, Acumedia, USA), during 24 h at 37°C. From each culture, a cell suspension was prepared with an aqueous 0.85% NaCl solution and adjusted to 0.5 MacFarland turbidity. In the next step, a swab was used to inoculate bacteria on the surface of Mueller-Hinton agar (Merck, Germany) plates (95 × 15 mm). Subsequently, 50 µL of each sample (dissolved in DMSO) were deposited in 9 mm diameter holes made on the agar medium. All plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 h. After this period, those samples affording inhibition zones around the holes were considered active. Cefixim (Sigma, USA) and DMSO were employed as positive and negative controls, respectively.

To determine minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC), a broth microdilution assay was employed, using Mueller-Hinton broth (MHB, Biolife, Italy) and standard bacterial inoculums (1.5×106 CFU/mL). Two-fold serial dilutions were prepared to final concentrations ranging from 0.3 to 5.0 μ g/mL for extracts and from 8 to 128 µg/mL for pure compounds. After 24 h incubation for at 37°C, the experiment was evaluated and 10 μL of sample were withdrawn from the content of each well with no visible bacterial growth and subcultured in TSA. MIC was defined as the lowest concentration of the tested substance that prevented a visible bacterial growth [7].

2.5 Statistical analysis

The variation in a set of data has been estimated by performing one way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Results were calculated from three independent experiments and are shown as mean \pm SD, n=3. Results were considered as statistically significant when p value was lower than 0.05.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Structural elucidation

The structures of isolated compounds were characterized by NMR spectra and comparison with literature data.

3.1.1 Compound 1

Compound 1 was obtained as colorless needles, m.p. 190-192°C

¹**H-NMR** (500 MHz, CD₃OD), $\delta_{\rm H}$ (ppm): 9.90 (1H, s, H-19); 5.93 (1H, d, J = 5.5 Hz, H-6); 5.61 (1H, m, H-24); 5.60 (1H, m, H-23); 4.02 (1H, d, J = 5.0 Hz, H-7); 3.57 (1H, s, H-3); 2.59 (1H, m, H-2b); 2.20 (1H, m, H-11b); 2.18 (1H, m, H-22b); 1.97 (1H, m, H-16b); 1.96 (1H, s, H-16a); 1.75 (1H, m, H-22a); 1.72 (1H, m, H-1b); 1.69 (1H, m, H-2a); 1.61 (1H, m, H-11a); 1.57 (1H, m, H-1a); 1.54 (1H, m, H-20); 1.40 (1H, m, H-15b); 1.40 (1H, m, H-12b); 1.38 (1H, m, H-15a); 1.31 (1H, s, H-12a); 1.31 (3H, s, H-26); 1.28 (3H, s, H-27); 1.27 (3H, s, H-28); 1.10 (3H, s, H-29); 0.97 (3H, d, J = 5.5 Hz, H-21); 0.94 (3H, s, H-18); 0.84 (3H, s, H-30).

¹³C-NMR (125 MHz, CD₃OD), $\delta_{\rm C}$ (ppm): 209.7 (C-19); 147.3 (C-5); 140.9 (C-24); 125.8 (C-23); 124.0 (C-6); 77.1 (C-3); 71.2 (C-25); 66.9 (C-7); 51.3 (C-17); 51.1 (C-8); 51.1 (C-9); 50.8 (C-14); 46.6 (C-13); 42.3 (C-4); 40.3 (C-22); 37.7 (C-10); 37.6 (C-20); 35.7 (C-15); 30.1 (C-2); 30.1 (C-12); 30.0 (C-26); 29.8 (C-27); 28.5 (C-16); 27.8 (C-29); 26.0 (C-28); 23.3 (C-11); 22.2 (C-1); 19.2 (C-21); 18.8 (C-30); 15.4 (C-18).

3.1.2 Compound 2

Compound **2** was obtained as brown powder, m.p. 201-203°C

¹**H-NMR** (500 MHz, acetone-*d*₆), $\delta_{\rm H}$ (ppm): 7.53 (1H, d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, H-2); 7.48 (1H, dd, *J* = 8.5 and 2.0 Hz, H-2'); 6.90 (1H, d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, H-5).

¹³**C-NMR** (125 MHz, acetone-*d*₆), δ_C (ppm): 167.6 (C-7); 150.7 (C-4); 145.6 (C-3); 123.6 (C-6); 123.1 (C-1); 117.5 (C-2); 115.7 (C-5).

3.1.3 Compound 3

Compound **3** was obtained as a yellow solid, m.p. 177-179°C.

¹**H-NMR** (500 MHz, CD₃OD), $\delta_{\rm H}$ (ppm): 7.78 (2H, d, J = 8.5 Hz, H-2', 6'); 6.95 (2H, d, J = 8.5Hz, H-3', 5'); 6.39 (1H, d, J = 2.0 Hz, H-8); 6.22 (1H, d, J = 2.0 Hz, H-6); 5.39 (1H, d, J = 1.5 Hz, H-1"); 4.24 (1H, dd, J = 3.5 and 1.5 Hz, H-2"); 3.73 (1H, dd, J = 9.0 and 3.5 Hz, H-3"); 3.34 (1H, m, H-4"); 3.34 (1H, m, H-5"); 0.94 (3H, d, J = 5.5Hz, H-6").

¹³**C-NMR** (125 MHz, CD₃OD), $δ_C$ (ppm): 179.6 (C-4); 166.0 (C-7); 163.2 (C-5); 161.6 (C-4'); 159.3 (C-9); 158.6 (C-2); 136.2 (C-3); 131.9 (C2', 6'); 122.7 (C-1'); 116.5 (C3', 5'); 105.9 (C-10); 103.5 (C-1''); 99.9 (C-6); 94.8 (C-8); 73.2 (C-4''); 72.2 (C-2''); 72.0 (C-3''); 71.9 (C-5''); 17.7 (C-6'').

Compound (1) was obtained as colorless needles. The typical ¹³C-NMR signals of CHO group (δ_{C} 209.7) and two isolated C=C bonds (δ_{C} 124.0 (d) and 147.3 (d); δ_{C} 125.8 (m) and 140.9 (m), in addition to the resonances of seven Me groups (δ_{C} 15.4, 18.8, 19.2, 26.0, 27.8, 29.8, and 30.0), seven CH₂ groups (δ_C 22.2, 23.3, 28.5, 30.1, 30.1, 35.7, 40.3), six CH groups (δ_C 37.6, 37.7, 51.1, 51.3, 66.9, and 77.1), and five quaternary C-atoms (δ_{C} 42.3, 46.6, 50.8, 51.1, and 71.2) revealed that (1) is a cucurbita-5,23-dienetype triterpene. Moreover, a downfield shift of two Me groups at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.28 (3H, s, H-27), and $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.31 (3H, s, H-26), indicating that C-25 position [δ_C 71.2] was the site of hydroxyl group. From these evidences and by comparison with literature data [8], the structure of compound identified (1) was as $3\beta, 7\beta, 25$ trihydroxycucurbita-5, (23E)-dien-19-al.

The ¹H-NMR spectrum of compound (2) demonstrated two protons doublets at δ 6.90 (1H, J = 8.5 Hz) and δ 7.53 (1H, J = 2.0 Hz) and one double doublet proton signal at δ 7.48 (1H, J = 8.5/2.0 Hz). The appearance of two doublets and their coupling constant values are further in

agreement with the presence of a trisubstituted aromatic nucleus in 1, 3, 4-positions. The ¹³C-NMR exhibited 7 carbon signals. The DEPT- 135 spectrum showed that it possessed 4 quaternary carbons. The ¹³C-NMR spectrum showed a downfield signal at δ 167.6 clearly assigned to carbonyl carbon. Therefore, the structure of compound (**2**) was established to be 3,4dihydroxybenzoic acid or protocatechuic acid [9].

The 1 H-NMR spectrum of compound (3) displayed that protons in B ring gave a doublet signal (2H, d, J = 8.5 Hz) at δ 7.78 and 6.95, suggested the presence *p*-disubstituted benzene ring. Protons in ring A were observed at δ 6.39 (1H, d, J = 2.0 Hz) and 6.22 (1H, d, J = 2.0 Hz), respectively, suggested the presence of metaaromatic protons at H-8 and H-6. In addition, there is the presence of a secondary methyl group with a doublet signal at δ 0.94 (3H, d, J = 5.5 Hz) and the signals of oxymethine groups at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.34-4.24. The ¹³C-NMR spectrum showed 21 carbon signals, which were classified by their chemical shifts and the DEPT spectra as one oxygenated sp³ methylene, four oxygenated sp³ methines, one anomeric carbon, six sp² methines, eight sp² quaternary carbons and one carbonyl group. Based on these observations, it can conclude that compound 3 is consist a flavonoid skeleton of kaempferol as an aglycone moiety and rhamnoside as a sugar unit. The anomeric proton had a coupling constant of 1.5 Hz, conforming the α orientation of L-rhamnosyl moiety. The position of α -L-rhamnosyl moiety in **3** was identified at C-3 on the basis of HMBC correlations. Based on the above spectral data, structure 3 was identified as kaempferol-3-O- α -L-rhamnoside consistent with previous report [10], which was reported for the first time from M. charantia.

Three compounds **1-3** were isolated and identified from the leaves and twigs of *Momordica charantia* L., including 3β , 7β ,25trihydroxycucurbita-5, (23*E*)-dien-19-al (**1**), protocatechuic acid (**2**), and afzelin (**3**) by analysis of their NMR spectra and comparison with literature data (Figure **1**).



Figure 1. Chemical structures of compounds 1–3.

3.2 In vitro antibacterial activity results

The bacterial infections are considered as the major cause of mortality in aquaculture. Due to the growing bacterial resistance against commercial standard and reserve antibiotics, the search for new active substances with antibacterial activity against pathogenic bacteria is of increasing importance [11]. The medicinal plants may be used as potential and promising drugs against fish pathogens in the aquaculture [12]. In this study, M. charantia extracts and isolated compounds were screened for antibacterial activity against three bacterial strains including A. hydrophila, A. dhakensis, and V. parahaemolyticus which commonly occur in aquaculture sector [13]. The extracts of M. charantia exhibited broad spectrum activity against the pathogenic bacteria in the range of 15.13 to 28.61 mm (Table 1). The results obtained from the disc diffusion method indicated that the ethyl acetate extract of M. charantia exhibited a stronger antibacterial activity against the tested fish pathogenic bacteria in comparison with the other extracts. The best antibacterial activity was determined against A. hydrophila (28.61 mm) among the tested pathogenic bacteria. addition, both compound $3\beta, 7\beta, 25$ -In trihydroxycucurbita-5, (23E)-dien-19-al and afzelin inhibited the growth of A. hydrophila with the value of MIC $\leq 8 \,\mu g/mL$. Türker *et al.* reported that A. hydrophila, a Gram negative fish pathogenic bacterium, appeared to be more susceptible to the plant extracts used in their experiments [14]. Direkbusarakom et al. also reported that among 16 Thai traditional herbs, ethanol extracts of M. charantia and P. gaujawa displayed the highest activity against Vibrio harveyi and V. parahaemolyticus, and MIC value of *M. charantia* was found to be 1.25 mg/mL [15].

1 and 1 . Lone of minomon and 1 1 0 of exitates and isotated compound	Lone of inhibition and MIC of extracts and isolated compo	ound
--	---	------

Extracts compounds	and isolated	Diamete	MIC (mg/mL)				
Extracts (mg/mL)		0.3125	0.625	1.250	2.500	5.000	
Crude extract	A. hydrophila	18.63 ^e ±0.31	19.77 ^c ±0.42	20.43 ^c ±0.60	20.63 ^b ±0.14	22.49 ^a ±0.64	MIC≤0.3125
	A. dhakensis	19.87 ^e ±0.17	$20.83^{d} \pm 0.26$	21.48°±0.51	21.71 ^b ±0.18	24.16 ^a ±0.22	MIC≤0.3125
	V. parahaemolyticus	18.34 ^e ±0.16	$19.46^{d} \pm 0.24$	20.49 ^c ±0.32	22.71 ^a ±0.09	21.90 ^b ±0.40	MIC≤0.3125
<i>n</i> -Hexane extract	A. hydrophila	15.13 ^d ±0.22	15.29 ^d ±0.10	16.43°±0.25	17.66 ^b ±0.29	19.58 ^a ±0.24	MIC≤0.3125
	A. dhakensis	18.23 ^c ±0.26	$15.84^{d} \pm 0.18$	21.22 ^b ±0.27	$22.83^{a} \pm 0.34$	15.69 ^d ±0.25	MIC≤0.3125
	V. parahaemolyticus	$17.85^{d} \pm 0.32$	18.20 ^c ±0.20	19.75 ^b ±0.15	19.93 ^b ±0.34	20.23 ^a ±0.27	MIC≤0.3125
Ethyl acetate extract	A. hydrophila	21.73 ^d ±0.23	24.37 ^c ±0.32	26.80 ^b ±0.23	28.33 ^a ±0.34	28.61 ^a ±0.17	MIC≤0.3125
	A. dhakensis	20.27 ^e ±0.29	$21.67^{d} \pm 0.35$	23.33 ^c ±0.18	$27.13^{a} \pm 0.31$	$26.78^{b} \pm 0.14$	MIC≤0.3125
	V. parahaemolyticus	22.03 ^c ±0.13	22.53 ^c ±0.23	24.83 ^b ±0.25	24.30 ^b ±0.20	$25.23^{a}\pm0.40$	MIC≤0.3125

Extracts compounds	and isolated	Diamete	MIC (mg/mL)				
Isolated compounds (µg/mL)		8	16	32	64	128	MIC (µg/mL)
<i>3β</i> ,7 <i>β</i> ,25- trihydroxy cucurbita- 5, (23 <i>E</i>)- dien-19-al	A. hydrophila	16.55 ^d ±0.41	17.45 ^c ±0.14	19.34 ^b ±0.22	20.03 ^a ±0.27	19.71 ^b ±0.26	MIC≤8
	A. dhakensis	$14.82^{d}\pm0.45$	17.26 ^c ±0.29	19.48 ^b ±0.35	19.90 ^b ±0.37	21.57 ^a ±0.26	MIC≤32
	V. parahaemolyticus	14.40 ^c ±0.40	17.35 ^b ±0.25	20.71 ^a ±0.27	20.45 ^a ±0.22	20.47 ^a ±0.33	MIC≤8
Afzelin	A. hydrophila	14.65 ^e ±0.18	15.23 ^d ±0.23	17.68 ^c ±0.32	24.06 ^b ±0.25	25.13 ^a ±0.31	MIC≤8
	A. dhakensis	12.11 ^e ±0,12	14.17 ^d ±0,96	15.13 ^c ±0,14	16.43 ^b ±0,16	17.31 ^a ±0,18	MIC≤8
	V. parahaemolyticus	$11.42^{d}\pm 0,17$	$11.72^{d}\pm0,08$	12.44 ^c ±0,27	14.85 ^b ±0,30	15.86 ^a ±0,21	MIC≤8
Cefixim	A. hydrophila	22.33°±0.58	23.00 ^c ±1.00	26.67 ^b ±2.31	30.67 ^a ±1.53	$31.67^{a}\pm0.58$	MIC≤8
	A. dhakensis	-	-	-	$9.67^{b} \pm 0.58$	14.33 ^a ±0.58	64
	V. parahaemolyticus	-	-	-	$10.00^{b} \pm 0.00$	$12.67^{a}\pm 0.58$	64

Note: Values are presented as means \pm SE (n=3). Different letters (a, b, c, d, e) in the same row show sinificant difference at the level of 0.05. The results were statistically analyzed using Minitab 16 software (ANOVA-Turkey's).

Similarly, Muniruzzaman and Chowdhury indicated that MIC values of *M. charantia* leaves ethanol extracts were 1.2 mg/mL against A. hydrophila and Pseudomonas flourescens, and 2.5 mg/mL against Edwardsiella tarda, which were fish pathogenic bacteria [16]. Previous studies have also demonstrated that *M. charantia* is very rich in triterpenes, proteins, and steroids [17]. It is speculated that the antimicrobial activities of triterpenes depend on interactions between their lipid components and the net surface charge of microbial membranes. Furthermore, the drugs might cross the cell membranes, penetrating into the interior of the cell and interacting with intracellular sites critical for antibacterial activity [18]. M. charantia is frequently used in folk medicine in Viet nam. To the best of our knowledge, we firstly report the antimicrobial activity of *M. charantia* against three pathogenic bacteria: A. dhakensis, A. hydrophila and V. parahaemolyticus. The results showed that all the extracts exhibited varying degrees of antimicrobial activity on the microorganisms tested. The ethyl acetate extract of M. charantia may be used as an alternative to antibiotics in aquaculture sector.

4. CONCLUSION

Based on the results of the present study, it can be concluded that different fractional extracts of M.

charantia have variable antibacterial activity. The best antibacterial activity was determined against *A. hydrophila* is ethyl acetate extract. This extract of *M. charantia* showed the presence of 3β , 7β ,25-trihydroxycucurbita-5, (23*E*)-dien-19-al (1), protocatechuic acid (2), and afzelin (3). In addition, compound (1) and (3) isolated from *M. charantia* was characterized and evaluated for their antibacterial activities against *A. hydrophila* with good results. This plant may be a potential source of a natural preservative in pharmaceutical industry.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This study is funded in part by the Can Tho University, Code: TSV2023-12.

REFERENCES

[1] Kumar, K.P.S.; Bhowmik, D., (2010). Traditional medicinal uses and therapeutic benefits of *Momordica charantia* Linn. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences Review and Research*, **4**, 23–28.

[2] Guarniz, W.A.S.; Canuto, K.M.; Ribeiro, P.R.V.; Dodou, H.V.; Magalhaes, K.N.; Sá, K.; do Nascimento, P.G.G.; Silva, K.L.; Sales, G.W.P.; Monteiro, M.P.; et al., (2019). *Momordica charantia* L. variety from Northeastern Brazil: Analysis of antimicrobial activity and phytochemical components. *Pharmacognosy Journal*, **11(6)**, 1312–1324.

[3] Jia, S.; Shen, M.; Zhang, F.; Xie, J., (2017). Recent advances in *Momordica charantia*: Functional components and biological activities. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, **18**, 1-25.

[4] Braca, A.; D'Arrigo, M.; Germanò, M.P.; Siciliano, T., (2008). Chemical composition and antimicrobial activity of *Momordica charantia* seed essential oil. *Fitoterapia*, 79, 123–125.

[5] Sing, P.T.; Tuyen, C.K.; Sophie, E.P.; Paul, D.R., (2016). Bitter melon (*Momordica charantia* L.) bioactive composition and health benefits: A review. *Food Reviews International*, **32**, 181–202.

[6] Laxminarayan, R.; Duse, A.; Wattal, C.; Zaidi, A. K. M.; Wertheim, H. F. L.; Sumpradit, E.; Vlieghe, G. L.; Hara, I. M.; Gould, H.; Goossens, C., (2013). Antibiotic resistance - the need for global solutions. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, **13**, 1057-1098.

[7] Yeo, Y.L.; Chia, Y.Y.; Lee, C.H.; Sow, H.S.; & Yap W.S., (2014). Effectiveness of maceration periods with different extraction solvents on *invitro* antimicrobial activity from fruit of *Momordica charantia* L. *Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Science*, **4**, 16-23.

[8] Shivanagoudra R.S.; Perera, W. H.; Perez, J. L.; Athrey, G.; Sun, Y.; Jayaprakasha, G. K.; & Patil, B. S., (2019). Cucurbitane-type compounds from *Momordica charantia*: Isolation, *in vitro* antidiabetic, anti-inflammatory activities and *in silico* modeling approaches. *Bioorganic Chemistry*, **87**, 31-42.

[9] Silva, L. A. L. d.; Faqueti L. G.; Reginatto F. H.; Santos A. D. C.; Barison, A. and Biavatti, M. W., (2015). Phytochemical analysis of *Vernonanthura tweedieana* and a validated UPLC-PDA method for the quantification of eriodictyol, *Revista Brasileira de Farmacognosia*, **25**(4), 375-381.

[10] Lee, S. Y.; So, Y. J.; Shin, M. S.; Cho, J. Y. and Lee, J., (2014). Antibacterial effects of afzelin isolated from *Cornus macrophylla* on *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, a leading cause of illness in immunocompromised individuals. *Molecules*, **19(3)**, 3173-3180.

[11] Mundt, S.; Kreitlow, S.; Jansen, R., (2003). Fatty acids with antibacterial activity from the cyanobacterium Oscillatoria redekei HUB 051. *Journal of Applied Phycology*, **15**, 263-276.

[12] Turker, H.; Yıldırım, A. B.; Karakaş, F. B., (2009). Sensitivity of bacteria isolated from fish to some medicinal plants. *Turkish Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, **9**, 181-186.

[13] Buller, N. B., (2004). Bacteria from fish and other aquatic animals: A practical identification manual. CABI Publishing. UK.

[14] Türker, H.; Yildirim A. B.; Karakaş F. B.; Köylüoğlu, H., (2009). Antibacterial activities of extracts from some Turkish endemic plants on common fish pathogens. *Turkish Journal of Biology*, **33**, 73-78.

[15] Direkbusarakom, S.; Ezura, Y.; Yoshimizu, M.; Herunsalee, A., (1998). Efficiacy of Thai traditional herb extracts against fish and shrimp pathogenic bacteria. *Fish Pathology*, **33**, 437-441

[16] Muniruzzaman, M.; Chowdhury, M. B. R., (2004). Sensivity of fish pathogenic bacteria to various medicinal herbs. *Bangladesh Journal of Veterinary Medicine*, **2**, 75-82

[17] Oliff, H. S., (2007). American botanical council: Monograph. *Momordica charantia* (Bitter melon). *Alternative Medicine Review*, **12**, 360-363

[18] Trombetta, D.; Castelli, F.; Sarpietro, M. G.; Venuti, V.; Cristani, M.; Daniele, C.; Saija, A.; Mazzanti, G.; Bisignano, G., (2005). Mechanisms of antibacterial action of three monoterpenes. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, **49**, 2474-2478.