

ORIGINAL ARTICLES

# The cost of HIV testing at five district health facilities intervened poct model to confirmation HIV detected

Nguyen Viet Nga<sup>1\*</sup>, Ho Thi Hien<sup>2</sup>, Nguyen Thanh Long<sup>3</sup>

## ABSTRACT

**Objective:** HIV testing is the only method to confirm people infected with HIV. There are many models providing HIV testing services. HIV testing cost is an important component for the investment and strategic direction of policies and programs on HIV. This paper describes HIV testing costs of HIV confirmatory testing by using three rapid diagnostic tests at district level (POCT- Point of Care testing).

**Methods:** cross-sectional study design was employed, costs are calculated using the cost-allocation method based on the proportion of resources used for the personnel, investment such as infrastructure and equipments, routine operational costs including test kits and consumable supplies, administration and training, fuel costs using for HIV testing in the laboratory, transporting the sample to province for HIV positive confirmation and HIV confirmatory test at the province. Five district health centers (DHC) thực hiện mô hình can thiệp POCT HIV were studied, HIV tests were conducted for 4,636 clients in 2016.

**Results :** 201 HIV positive cases were detected; after implementing the POCT model to confirm HIV status at these five DHCs, the cost per HIV screening test case is 7,4 USD on average; and cost per an HIV comfirmatory test is 206,8 USD.

**Conclusion:** Applying the POCT model of HIV helps reducethe cost of HIV testing because of saving cost for sample transportation and implementing confirm HIV detected more in provincial standard laboratory. It should be expanded to use especially limited resource settings in Vietnam

**Keywords:** HIV, HIV test, POCT, cost

## INTRODUCTION

HIV infection affects the health of individuals and communities and the development of economic and social security. By June 30, 2020 in Vietnam, there are 212,816 people living with HIV and 108,623 deaths due to HIV- related causes. HIV epidemics are

concentrated in Northern mountainous and Southeast regions, among high-risk populations such as people who inject drugs (PWID) (12,74%/2019), female sex workers (FSWs) (3,58%/2018) and men having sex with men (MSM) (11,36%/2018), mainly aged between 30 and 39 and tends to increase, with transmission shifted towards female



\* **Corresponding author:** Nguyen Viet Nga  
Email: nvngabyt@gmail.com

<sup>1</sup>Vietnam Administration HIV/AIDS  
Control, Ministry of Health

<sup>2</sup> Hanoi University of Public Health

<sup>3</sup>Ministry of Health

Submitted: 30 October, 2020

Revised version received: 17 November, 2020

Published: 26 March, 2021

population, implying a possible potential outbreak (1), (2).

HIV testing is the only method to determine HIV infection, which helps inform monitoring solutions and responses for prevention, treatment and control of epidemic. Location of providing HIV testing services can be either at a health facility or in the community. HIV confirmatory testing can only be implemented at a health facility under two main models: (1) HIV testing at standardized laboratories (Slab). This model requires necessary infrastructure, good practice staff and testing equipment. So each province only had one HIV confirmatory laboratory; (2) The point-of-care testing model (POCT) is a method that use three rapid diagnostic tests, which allows flexibility, simplicity and no need for intensive training and is easy to implement at local level, therefore, it is helpful in expanding HIV testing coverage.

Testing costs include: allowance for conducting the test, infrastructure, consumable supplies and operational cost for one client tested and one positive case. The cost depends on prevalence, client group, location, applied model, HIV testing algorithm, and techniques of HIV testing. When reviewing different testing models, the cost of facility-based HIV counseling and testing (VCT) was highest (36,78 USD), then community-based HIV testing (27,38 USD), testing at workplace and home (USD16,6 and USD8,58 respectively), testing during campaign months (11,17 USD), provider-initiated counselling and testing (PICT) in hospitals and clinics (12,56 USD and 12,32 USD respectively) (3). A New Jersey based study from 2008 to 2009 found that the average cost of test kit per test implemented standard method combining a rapid diagnostic test with an Webtern blot was USD27,8,

while a rapid test only is 22,94 USD (4). The Systematic review found that testing costs were higher for general population group and in high income countries (5).

In Vietnam, since the establishment of the first HIV laboratory in 1986, there are now more than 1250 HIV screening facilities in all districts and 138 HIV confirmatory laboratories in 63 provinces/cities (2). However, the cost of HIV testing is still high, for example, according to a study in Thai Nguyen in 2012, the cost of a facility-based HIV test was 36,9 USD per client (from testing to receiving the result), and 117 USD for an HIV confirmed case (6). In the context of limited resources, changing management mechanisms, implementing decentralization in health sector, it is necessary to study the application of providing HIV confirmatory testing at district levels that is suitable to the locality. This model is flexible, easy to apply in places with limited resources, it can provide services near the people, perform on-the-spot and combine with many other medical services, thereby increasing the efficiency of testing in difficult and remote areas, reducing time to deliver and return test results, and saving costs (7),(4),(8),(5), (9), (10). Because of the above reasons, this study aims to describe the cost of HIV testing in five health facilities in the Northern mountainous districts where POCT model is used to confirm HIV test results. Total cost for HIV testing including personnel, investment and other regular expenses, transporting the sample to province for HIV positived confirmation and HIV confirmatory test at the province. Base on total costs, number of HIV testing and number of confirmation HIV detected to calculate the unit cost.

## METHODS

**Study design:** This is a cross-sectional study with collection of retrospective data on HIV testing expenditures at district and provincial health facilities in order to estimate total cost, component likes personnel, investment, other regular, sample transportation, confirmation in PAC costs, and unit cost per screen testing and per case detected HIV test.

**Location and time:** Dien Bien, Thanh Hoa and Son La are provinces selected for HIV/AIDS sentinel surveillance in Vietnam, with 100% of districts/towns and over 80% of communes/wards having HIV infected people. These are places with low education level and concentration of drug addiction, diversity of cultures, beliefs, customs, leading to high risks of HIV infection and unpredictable progression of HIV epidemic (11), (12), (13), (13, 14). Still many people infected with HIV haven't known their status. According to case-based surveillance estimates in 2015, the percentage of infection detected is only 49,79% in Dien Bien, 56,35% in Son La and 49,85% in Thanh Hoa; the proportion of people living with HIV detected and received ARV treatment was 61,99% in Dien Bien, 39,52% in Son La and 48,66% in Thanh Hoa, respectively. This issue is clearly in some mountainous districts with difficult travel, low income, underdeveloped health infrastructure, insufficient human resources, access to health services for people living with HIV is very limited such as Tuan Giao and Dien Bien District of Dien Bien province, Muong Lat and Quan Hoa of Thanh Hoa, Moc Chau of Son La.

Before 2015, all HIV samples in the district and surrounding areas were only screened for HIV at district health facilities (DHF) and the reactive screened samples are sent to provincial HIV/AIDS control center (PAC) for confirmation of HIV under the Slab model.

The limitation of this model is that it takes long time requiring significant human and financial efforts, affecting the access to HIV testing and returning of test results (6, 15, 16).

Since 2016, the district medical facilities have been invested with equipment, human resources, training and technical assistances to implement the intervention model to confirm HIV at the district level with three rapid diagnostic tests (POCT) (17).

### **Selection criteria**

Testing facilities are selected according to the criteria to ensure they meet the HIV screening and confirmatory testing standards of the Ministry of Health, representing the northern mountainous regions of Vietnam, to be allowed to pilot POCT model to confirm HIV at district level.

### **Sample size, sample selection**

05 district health facilities were purposively selected with the intention to implement the intervention of HIV confirmation POCT model.

### **Variables / indicators: Cost classification**

We have five types of variables in relation to cost classification used from provider perspective in this study, including:

- (1) Human resource costs: salary, allowances, % time allocated for HIV testing services.
- (2) Variables related to fixed costs: Items (equipments and consumable supplies used over 1 year), time of initiating use, time to start using, original price at purchase time, duration of use, simple depreciation coefficient, % used the items for HIV testing.
- (3) Variables related to other routine operational costs: electricity costs, water costs, fuel costs, test kits and consumable supplies, administration and training.

(4) Expenses related to transporting HIV reactivated samples from the district health center to the provincial HIV/AIDS prevention center for HIV confirmatory testing and confirmation HIV detected in PAC Laboratory.

(5) Other variables: Number of tested clients, number of clients with positive test results, number of HIV testing staff.

The study indicators include: unit cost of personnel, investment, other regular, sample transportation, confirmation in PAC, average cost per client, average cost per one positive case.

### Tools and data collection procedures

Forms to collect expenditure data on HIV testing were referenced from international cost studies and studies in Vietnam. However, the forms had adjusted to supplement the cost related to sample transport and the cost of conducting HIV confirmatory tests at provincial levels.

Lab staffs who conduct HIV testing were trained in filling forms of HIV testing activities, recording and collecting data on expenses for HIV testing activities.

Data collection team was instructed on how to enter and verify data, using standardized data collection form in Microsoft Excel format.

**Cost calculation** We calculate the cost per unit of product using the method of cost allocation based on the proportion of resources used for the activity: determining the total cost, determining the number of units of product / service from which the average cost is calculated

**The cost of screen HIV testing** = (Personnel costs + investment costs + Other regular expenses):

- Personnel costs include: Salaries, bonuses, allowances, insurance. This is inclusive of percentage of time allocated testing service of part-time personnel (if any)

- Investment costs include: infrastructure, equipments. In which, the value of fixed assets is adjusted according to the consumer price index of 2016, the asset depreciation is calculated on the assumption that duration of use of equipment is 10 years, facility is 25 years (according to guidelines of the Vietnamese Ministry of Finance) with a simple depreciation ratio and a discount rate to be 3%, percentage of use items of equipment for HIV testing.

- Other regular expenses include: Electricity, water, fuel, maintenance, administration, training, test kits and consumable supplies for HIV testing ...

**The cost of HIV confirmed positive at screening facility (district laboratory)** = Cost of HIV screening test + Cost of transporting the sample to province for HIV positive confirmation + Cost of HIV confirmatory test at the province.

**The cost for an HIV confirmatory test at province (PAC laboratory)** = total cost for an HIV confirmation facility x percentage of samples conducted HIV confirmation sent from districts.

**The cost per patient tested** was defined as the cost of the HIV testing services reported by a specific programme, divided by the number of people who received HIV testing services (5).

**The cost per case detected** was defined as the cost of the HIV testing services reported by a specific programme divided by the number of people who were diagnosed HIV-positive (5).

In this study, the collected information was entered into a computer on Microsoft Excel template to calculate in VND at 2016's prices then converted into USD at the World Bank rate.

The exchange rate at the time of the study will be converted to the general rate of the World Bank at the time of the study (<https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/PA.NUS.FCRF?page=1>): 1 USD = 21935,008 VND.

### Ethical procedures

In this study, the data is only used for scientific study purposes, It will be shared with stakeholders aiming to develop related policies and planning. Study has been approved by the IRB of Hanoi University of Public Health at Decision No. 301 / 2017YTCC – HD3.

## RESULTS

### General characteristics of study participants

Table 1 shows the general characteristics of all HIV testing facilities that belong to government managed system. These facilities provide lab-based HIV testing to all populations of the district, 4/5 districts respond both 2 functions include providing prevention and health care services, except Moc Chau Preventive Medicine Center that does not provide health care services. In 2016, 5 facilities performed HIV tests for 4,636 clients and detected 201 HIV-positive clients (positivity rate of 4,3%).

**Table 1. General characteristics of HIV testing facilities at five northern mountain districts in 2016**

District	Function of district health centre	Testing model	Number of years started HIV testing	Number of sample		
				HIV testing	Suspect HIV	Positive HIV
Dien Bien District	Prevention and treatment	Facility - based	8	368	45	45
Moc Chau	Prevention	Facility - based	5	429	34	33
Muong Lat	Prevention and treatment	Facility - based	4	844	15	15
Quan Hoa	Prevention and treatment	Facility - based	6	1177	42	41
Tuan giao	Prevention and treatment	Facility - based	3	1818	70	67

### Describe the costs of HIV testing in the district-level applying POCT model to confirmation HIV detected


Figure 1 describes 5 types of component cost unit for HIV testing: The unit cost of human resources remains the high proportion of

costs in 4/5 HIV testing facilities, highest in Muong Lat (7,9 USD) and lowest in Dien Bien District (0,6 USD), suggesting the need to expand to collect samples to maximize resources for HIV testing. Routine operational costs were almost similar among testing facilities, with an average of 2,4

USD, being the highest in Muong Lat and lowest in Dien Bien District.

Investment cost is the lowest of all the cost components, but it gradually decreases if the laboratory continues to implement because it is a one-time investment and less arising. The unit cost for sample transportation and

the provincial HIV confirmation test is found to be much higher than the other component unit costs, and these costs are only present in Dien Bien and Moc Chau districts because they have not done POCT model for HIV confirmation so there was still time when they had to send samples to the provincial level for HIV confirmation.



**Figure 1. Unit of component costs of HIV testing**

Figure 2 describes the average unit cost of HIV testing: The average cost per HIV testing at 5 studied districts in 2016 is 7,4 (2,1-12,3) USD, highest in Muong Lat and lowest in Dien Bien district. The average cost per positive case is 206,8 (82,9-691,6)

USD, highest in Muong Lat and lowest in Moc Chau. There is a significant difference in average costs among the districts, which suggests that with the same investment, the lower the number of samples, the higher the cost will be.






Figure 2. Average cost of HIV testing

## DISCUSSION

This study has shown that with the support of the POCT, this model leads to reduced cost of transporting samples, conducting HIV confirmatory testing at the provincial level, thereby reducing total testing costs.

The average cost per HIV testing in the 5 district health facilities in this study is 7,4 USD, which is lower than those of previous study results using the Slab model in Thai Nguyen in 2012 (USD 36,9) (6); 7,6 USD in 9 HIV sentinel surveillance provinces in 2013 (18), lower than the average cost synthesized from world studies for the model of facility based testing, the average of the cost per HIV testing is 11(6-43) USD for the high-risk population (5). Compared with the POCT intervention studies in the world, the result calculated in our study is lower compared with the results of studies applying this model in các bệnh viện ở Uganda in 2009 (11,68 USD) (19), of 15 HIV testing facilities using combined rapid test and Western Blot to confirm HIV detected in New Jersey in 2008-2009 (26,10 USD) (4), and in HIV testing counseling facilities in West – Java province of Indonesia in 2008 (14,91 USD) (20). The lower cost of the study may be due to the intervention of the testing models in

available resources of facilities so reducing the cost of investment in equipment and payment of labor. Using rapid algorithm combined 3 rapid test also saving money more than algorithm combine rapid test with ELISA or other test.

The study results showed that although the cost for one positive case is not equally low at all study sites but the average cost is 206,8 USD, In some site, the cost is still higher due to the small number of samples performed but it is still necessary to invest equipment and personnel to meet standards such as Muong Lat and Quan Hoa. The average cost per HIV detected is lower than that of the study in 9 HIV key provinces in 2013 (320,3 USD) (18), higher than the results of the study applying Slab for HIV testing in Thai Nguyen in 2012 (117,6 USD) (6). This could possibly be the fact that the study included both transportation cost and the cost of conducting HIV confirmatory testing at the province. In the study, POCT model have applied in five districts so the cost is lower than the study in 9 provinces where HIV testing using Slab models concentrating in province.

Similarly, when compared with studies in the world, the average cost per client

tested for HIV testing at these five district health facilities was lower than the result of 334 (324-15308) USD for high-risk populations in the low and mid income countries summarized by the World Health Organization (5), much lower than the cost of 3637 USD in high income countries like the US in 2005-2006 (9). The results from our study are much lower than the cost of USD 2077,15 for one positive HIV case applying POCT model at 15 HIV testing facilities in New Jersey in 2008-2009 (4). In the study applying the POCT model for confirmed HIV testing, the average cost is lower than the studies applying the Slab (standard laboratory) or mixed model, and integrating the model at the district facilities will reduce the cost due to the utilization of available human resources and equipment.

In summary, in order to optimize resources and improve cost effectiveness, it is necessary to increase the number of testing samples by identifying surrounding geographic areas for sample collection, expanding HIV testing services, continuing sustainable expansion of POCT intervention in remote and disadvantaged areas.

Our study has some limitations such as the inability to evaluate the reduction in HIV incidence, followed by the cost of prevention of one HIV infection case after expanding the POCT model. The study can have certain imprecise number due to the cost estimation based on data extracted from books, reports may have unverified original errors, or percentage of time that lab staff spent for HIV testing is not completely accurate.

## CONCLUSION

The study has provided sufficient information on HIV testing costs at district HIV testing

facilities, helping to inform suitable cost norms for calculating health service fees and charges.

The POCT model to confirm HIV status at the district level has helped to save program costs and reduce HIV testing costs compared to the previous Slab model. Expanding the POCT model is a solution that can both facilitate access to HIV testing, in order to achieve the first 90 target of having 90% of people living with HIV to know their HIV infection status in the context of reduced resources for HIV/AIDS prevention and control as well as promote the efficient use of program resources in disadvantaged areas.

**Acknowledgments:** Authors would like to thank the members working in the District Health Care Centers and Preventive Medicine Centers of Dien Bien, Tuan Giao, Moc Chau, Muong Lat, Quan Hoa districts. Special thanks to Provincial HIV/AIDS Center in Dien Bien, Son La and Thanh Hoa provinces.

## REFERENCES

1. Cục Phòng chống HIV/AIDS. Báo cáo kết quả giám sát trọng điểm qua các năm.2019.
2. Cục Phòng chống HIV/AIDS. Báo cáo tổng kết hoạt động phòng chống HIV/AIDS năm 2019 và định hướng hoạt động năm 2020 15/01/2020. Report No.: 45/BC-BYT.
3. Sharma M, Ying R, Tarr G, Barnabas R. Systematic review and meta-analysis of community and facility-based HIV testing to address linkage to care gaps in sub-Saharan Africa. *Nature*. 2015;528(7580):S77-S85.
4. Kendall Stevinsona, Eugene G. Martinb, Stephen Marcellac, Pauld SM. Cost effectiveness analysis of the New Jersey rapid testing algorithm for HIV testing in publicly funded testing sites. *Journal of Clinical Virology*. 2011.
5. Johnson C, Dalal S, Baggaley R, Hogan D, Parrott G, Mathews R, et al. Annex 5: Systematic review of HIV testing costs in high and low income settings. 2015.
6. Hoang Van Minh, Tran Xuan Bach, Nguyen Y



- Mai, Wright P. The cost of providing HIV/AIDS counseling and testing services in Vietnam. *Value in Health Regional Issues*. 2012;1(1):36-40.
7. Peeling RW, Holmes KK, Mabey D, Ronald A. Rapid tests for sexually transmitted infections (STIs): the way forward. *Sexually transmitted infections*. 2006;82.
8. Menzies NA, Homsy J, Pitter JYC, Pitter C, Mermin J, Downing R, et al. Cost-effectiveness of routine rapid human immunodeficiency virus antibody testing before DNA-PCR testing for early diagnosis of infants in resource-limited settings. *The Pediatric infectious disease journal*. 2009;28(9):819-25.
9. Shrestha RK, Clark HA, Sansom SL, Song B, Buckendahl H, Calhoun CB, et al. Cost-effectiveness of finding new HIV diagnoses using rapid HIV testing in community-based organizations. *Public health reports*. 2008;94-100.
10. Qvist T, Cowan SA, Graugaard C, Helleberg M. High linkage to care in a community-based rapid HIV testing and counseling project among men who have sex with men in Copenhagen. *Sexually transmitted diseases*. 2014;41(3):209-14.
11. Nguyễn Bá Cẩn. Đánh giá hiệu quả can thiệp về kiến thức, hành vi thực hành phòng, chống HIV/AIDS trong nhóm đồng bào dân tộc Thái tại huyện Quan Hóa và Lang Chánh, tỉnh Thanh Hóa.2012.
12. Cục Phòng chống HIV/AIDS. Báo cáo giám sát trọng điểm HIV lồng ghép hành vi trong các nhóm nguy cơ cao tại Việt Nam.2014.
13. Cục Phòng chống HIV/AIDS. Tóm tắt báo cáo công tác phòng chống HIV/AIDS tại Sơn La.2014.
14. Hoàng Xuân Chiến. Thực trạng nhận thức, thái độ hành vi về lây nhiễm HIV/AIDS của đồng bào dân tộc khu vực biên giới Việt–Lào tỉnh Điện Biên.2012.
15. Phan Thị Thu Hương, Nguyễn Hoàng Long, Nguyễn Việt Nga, Hoàng Đình Cảnh, Võ Hải Sơn, Bùi Hoàng Đức, et al. Thực trạng xét nghiệm HIV tại Việt Nam và đề xuất một số giải pháp nâng cao chất lượng xét nghiệm HIV tại Việt Nam. *Tạp chí Y học Việt Nam*. 2014;416.
16. Phan Duy Tiêu, Hoàng Thị Tươi, Cao Thị Thanh Thủy. Thực trạng công tác chuyển mẫu xét nghiệm huyết thanh học HIV và thời gian trả kết quả xét nghiệm khẳng định HIV tại tỉnh Yên Bái 2013-2014. *Tạp chí Y học dự phòng*. 2015;XXV(10 (170)):37-43.
17. Bộ Y tế. Kế hoạch thí điểm xét nghiệm khẳng định HIV tuyển huyện. 2015.
18. Van NT, Huyen NT, Quoc NC, Phuong DTB, West G. Expenditure analysis of HIV testing and counseling services using the cascade framework in Vietnam. *PloS one*. 2015;10(5):e0126659.
19. Menzies N, Abang B, Wanyenze R, Nuwaha F, Mugisha B, Coutinho A, et al. The costs and effectiveness of four HIV counseling and testing strategies in Uganda. *Aids*. 2009;23(3):395-401.
20. Tromp N, Siregar A, Leuwol B, Komarudin D, van der Ven A, van Crevel R, et al. Cost-effectiveness of scaling up voluntary counselling and testing in West-Java, Indonesia. *Acta Medica Indonesiana*. 2016;45(1).